

**BALDWIN HILLS CONSERVANCY
NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING**

The meeting of the Baldwin Hills Conservancy (BHC) will be held
Friday, September 21, 2018, 10:00 AM - 12:00 PM
Kenneth Hahn State Recreation Area Community Room
4100 South La Cienega Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90056
(323) 298-3660

Teleconference Location

Natural Resources Agency
1416 Ninth Street, 13th Floor, Room 1305
Sacramento, California 95814

10:00 AM - CALL TO ORDER – Jacquelyn Dupont-Walker, Chair

MEETING AGENDA

PUBLIC COMMENTS ON AGENDA OR NON-AGENDA ITEMS SHOULD BE SUBMITTED BEFORE ROLL CALL

Public Comment and Time Limits: If you wish to speak on an agenda item, please complete a speaking card available near the door to the meeting room. Individuals wishing to comment will be allowed up to three minutes to speak. Speaking times may be reduced depending upon the number of speakers.

1. Roll Call – Avril LaBelle, Executive Secretary
2. Approval of Minutes (June) – Jacquelyn Dupont-Walker, Chair
3. Public Comments – Jacquelyn Dupont-Walker, Chair
4. Consideration of a Resolution Adopting the Baldwin Hills Conservancy Proposition 68 Grant Guidelines – David McNeill, Executive Officer
5. Executive Officer Report: Legislative, Project Status, and Fiscal updates – BHC Staff Representatives
6. Board Member Announcements or Proposed Agenda Items for Future Meetings

Next meeting is tentatively scheduled for **November 2, 2018.*

ADJOURNMENT

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, if you require a disability related modification or accommodations to attend or participate in this meeting, including auxiliary aids or services, please call the Conservancy at (323) 290-5270 at least five days prior to the meeting. For more information about the Conservancy, you may visit our website at www.bhc.ca.gov

Be it known pursuant to Government Code Sections 54956.8, 54956.9, the Conservancy may hold a closed session to discuss and take possible action regarding instructions on real estate negotiations, on personnel matters and/or to receive advice of counsel on pending or potential litigation. Confidential memoranda related to these issues may be considered during such closed session discussions.

BALDWIN HILLS CONSERVANCY

5120 West Goldleaf Circle, Suite 290
Los Angeles, CA 90056

**PUBLIC MEETING MINUTES
BALDWIN HILLS CONSERVANCY**

Friday, June 22, 2018

10:07 a.m. Call to Order - A public meeting of the Baldwin Hills Conservancy (BHC) was assembled at 10:00 a.m. on Friday, June 22, 2018, at the Kenneth Hahn State Recreation Area (KHSRA) Community Center located at 4100 South La Cienega Boulevard, Los Angeles, CA 90056.

I. Roll Call - Avril LaBelle, Executive Secretary

Members Present: Lloyd Dixon, Jacquelyn Dupont-Walker, Dr. Yolanda Gorman, Robert Jones, Corey Lakin, Karly Katona (*attended as non-voting member,*) Jason Marshall, Joshua Nelson, Keshia Sexton, Charles Turner, Marina Voskanian, John Wicker,

Staff Present: David McNeill, Executive Officer; David Edsall, Deputy Attorney General (DAG) California Department of Justice; Gail Krippner, Grant Program Manager; Noa Rishe Khalili, Park and Recreation Specialist; Daniel Sciolini, Staff Services Analyst; Avril LaBelle, Executive Secretary.

(Prior to Item 5, DAG David Edsall conducted the swearing in of new board member Charles Turner, Assistant Deputy for Economic Development, Board of Supervisors, County of Los Angeles.)

II. Approval of Minutes (May) – Jacquelyn Dupont-Walker, Chair

There being no objections or questions, the Chair called for a motion to approve. Member Dixon so moved, Member Gorman seconded the motion, and the minutes were unanimously approved.

III. Public Comments – Jacquelyn Dupont-Walker, Chair

Public comment was invited. A request for further clarification of dogs on the trail was asked and answered.

IV. Overview – Past, Present and Future of the Parklands with Special Guest, Senator Kevin Murray (ret), and Author of the Baldwin Hills Conservancy Act of 2000 – David McNeill, Executive Officer

Mr. McNeill presented on the history, current events, and future of the Parklands. *(Please see attached Memorandum dated June 22, 2018, Item 4: Overview – Past, Present and Future of the Parklands with Special Guest, Senator Kevin Murray (ret), and Author of the Baldwin Hills Conservancy Act of 2000; and Item 4, 6-22-2018 PowerPoint Presentation.)*

Special Guest - Retired Senator Kevin Murray shared on the inception and work to accomplish the BHC Act of 2000.

V. Consideration of an Amendment to Resolution 16-11, Authorizing an Augmentation to the Existing Proposition 40 Grant Award to Los Angeles County Department of Parks and Recreation, for the Park to Pedestrian Bridge, in an Amount Not to Exceed an Additional \$900,000 – Gail Krippner, Grant Program Manager

Staff recommend approving an additional \$900,000 against what was already approved last June. The original proposal included \$3.78 match from County Proposition-A Funds. For a \$6.8 million-dollar project total. There is a successful bidder / contractor now on board. The current budget has been increased to \$10,817,000 based upon the final cost estimates provided by the contractor. *(See attached Memorandum dated June 22, 2018, Item 5: Consideration of an Amendment to Resolution 16-11, Authorizing an Augmentation to the Existing Proposition 40 Grant Award to Los Angeles County Department of Parks and Recreation, for the Park to Pedestrian Bridge, in an amount not to exceed an additional \$900,000; and all corresponding Resolution 16-11, Amendment 1.)*

Members discussed – The bridge adjacent to the current exit, approximately 200 yards north of the current bridge.

The Chair called for a motion to vote. Member Gorman so moved, Member Dixon seconded the motion, and a roll call vote was taken – 8 aye, 0 nay, 0 abstain, Resolution 16-11 Amendment 1 Approved.

VI. Executive Officer Report, Legislative, Project Status, and Fiscal updates – BHC Staff Representatives

Project Status Report – David McNeill

Propositions 40 / 84 / 1

Healthy Living Parklands Initiative, City Project – This project has been terminated as result of a mutual agreement; no deliverables or money have been exchanged.

Baldwin Hills Parklands Conservation Project, LA Audubon – The Greenhouse program is currently in Sacramento being considered for approval by the California Community College Board and the L.A. College Board.

Ballona Creek Connection, City of Culver City – Project completion - Summer of 2019; construction beginning now through July 30th

BHSO Trail and Stormwater Improvement Project, Los Angeles Conservation Corps (LACC) – Project is complication free and on track.

Culver City Refuse Transfer Station - Stormwater Diversion Project - Phase 1 Diversion System – Coordinating an Opening with the City for this Summer.

Mountains Recreation Conservation Authority (MRCA) Milton Green Street – Project close-out ongoing.

California Greenworks Inc. Lower Ballona Creek Feasibility Plan/Study – Stakeholder meeting set for July; exploration of sites along the Creek continues.

Ballfield Stormwater Project – CCCF is obtaining information for project and scope.

Fiscal and Legislative Update – David McNeill

Proposition 68 passed. (Please see attached memorandum dated June 22, 2018, Item 6: Executive Officer Report; Attachment #1 – BHC Project Status Report for 6/22/18; Attachment #2 – BHC 2017/2018 Summary Sheet by Fund.)

VII. Board Member Announcements or Proposed Agenda Items for Future Meetings

- *The BHC welcomes and congratulates new Board Member Charles Turner.*
- *The next board meeting is tentatively scheduled for **August 3, 2018.***

ADJOURNMENT

There being no more business brought before the board, the meeting was adjourned at approximately **11:40 p.m.**

Approved:

Jacquelyn Dupont-Walker, Chair

Date:

BALDWIN HILLS CONSERVANCY

5120 West Goldleaf Circle, Suite 290
Los Angeles, CA 90056
(323) 290-5270 Phone
www.bhc.ca.gov



Memorandum

To: Governing Board

From: David McNeill, Executive Officer

Date: September 21, 2018

Re: Item 4: Consideration of a Resolution Adopting the Baldwin Hills Conservancy Proposition 68 Grant Program Guidelines

Recommendation: Approve Resolution #17-02 adopting the BHC Prop 68 Grant Program Guidelines.

Background: The Baldwin Hills Conservancy (BHC) staff completed and posted the draft BHC Proposition 68 Grant Program Guidelines (Draft Prop 68 Guidelines Attachment #1) for public review on August 13, 2018. Email notifications along with website posting of the draft guidelines were sent allowing 30 days for interested parties to review and comment. The BHC's draft Prop 68 Guidelines were presented at a public workshop conducted on September 11, 2018 at the Baldwin Hills Scenic Overlook in Los Angeles.

The public workshops included an overview of the key eligibility and competitive requirements promulgated in the Prop 68 statute. Questions were addressed at the workshop, but no comments were received at that time. Two written comments were received for staff to consider. Attached please find the Final Draft BHC Prop 68 Guidelines updated to reflect revisions pursuant to the public comments below (CCC and CALCC Letters Attachment #2).

The BHC received the following written comment letters:

1. California Conservation Corps dated September 11, 2018
2. California Association of Local Conservation Corps dated September 11, 2018

Category of Comments, Responses, and Recommendations:

Section 4.4 Conservation Corps

Requested language change to clarify grant applicant consultation include community conservation corps as well as the addition of the California Association of Local Conservation Corps (CALCC) Prop 68 email information in the section.

Response: Prop 68 includes language in PRC Section 80016 that states: "To the extent possible, a project whose application included the use of service of the California Conservation Corps or certified community corps, as defined in PRC Section 14507.5, shall be given preference for receipt of a grant under this division. The BHC has revised the Guidelines to include the community conservation corps as a contact for consultation by grant applicants and added the recommended CALCC email address.

Section 7.0 Project Rating Details

Requested change in scoring rubric to provide a value for utilization of a Conservation Corps in the project. The change would enable the BHC to easily identify if an applicant uses a corps and would provide an effective scoring mechanism to signify preference in consideration for a proposed project.

Response: The recommendation is consistent with the intent of the Prop 68 language in PRC Section 80016. The BHC has revised the scoring tables to reflect a project's use of a corps or local job training entity under the Additional Considerations rubric in projects 3.1, 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4 can receive up to 5 points towards the score in each referenced section.

BALDWIN HILLS CONSERVANCY (BHC)

RESOLUTION 18-03

RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE BHC PROP 68 GRANT PROGRAM GUIDELINES

WHEREAS, under Division 22.7 of the California Public Resources Code, the Baldwin Hills Conservancy was created to acquire open space and manage public lands within the Baldwin Hills area and to provide recreation, restoration and protection of wildlife habitat within the Conservancy territory; and

WHEREAS, Public Resources Code 32569 (a) states the Conservancy may award grants to local agencies for the purposes of its division; and

WHEREAS, The California Drought, Water, Parks, Climate, Coastal Protection, and Outdoor Access for All Act of 2018 (Proposition 68) is codified as Division 45 (commencing with Section 80000) and Sections 5096.611 and 75089.5 of the Public Resources Code and Section 79772.5 of the Water Code.; and

WHEREAS, Proposition 68 authorizes \$4 billion in general obligation bonds to finance a drought, water, parks, climate, coastal protection, and outdoor access for all program; and

WHEREAS, Section 80110(b)(1) of the Public Resources Code allocates thirty million dollars (\$5,000,000) to the Baldwin Hills Conservancy in accordance with the governing statutes and specified purposes; and

WHEREAS, Section 80010 of the Public Resources Code requires adoption of guidelines prior to disbursing grants; and

WHEREAS, Section 80010(b) of the Public Resources Code requires public meetings to consider public comments prior to adoption of guidelines.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, That the Baldwin Hills Conservancy hereby:

1. FINDS that the proposed action is consistent with the *Baldwin Hills Park Master Plan* as adopted by the Baldwin Hills Conservancy.
2. FINDS that the proposed action is consistent with the Conservancy's Strategic Plan.
3. FINDS that the requirements of Section 80010 of the Public Resources Code have been met.
4. FINDS that the public meetings requirements of Section 80010(b) of the Public Resources Code have been met.
5. FINDS that the proposed guidelines implement the goals stated in Proposition 68.

6. FINDS that the proposed action is exempt from the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

7. ADOPTS the staff report and recommendations dated September 21, 2018 for this item.

8. ADOPTS all the preceding whereas clauses.

9. ADOPTS the Baldwin Hills Conservancy Proposition 68 Grant Program Guidelines.

10. FURTHER AUTHORIZES the Executive Officer TO CONDUCT ALL NEGOTIATIONS, EXECUTE AND SUBMIT ALL DOCUMENTS INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO AGREEMENTS AND CERTIFICATIONS WHICH MAY BE NECESSARY FOR THE COMPLETION OF THE AFOREMENTIONED PROGRAM.

Passed and Adopted by the Board of the
BALDWIN HILLS CONSERVANCY

on _____ 2018.

Craig Sap
Vice Chair

ATTEST: _____
David Edsall
Deputy Attorney General

State of California

Natural Resources Agency

Baldwin Hills Conservancy

DRAFT

PROPOSITION 68 GRANT PROGRAM GUIDELINES



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section 1. Introduction

1.0. Preamble.....	3
1.1. Purpose.....	4
1.2. Definitions.....	4

Section 2. Eligibility and Priorities

2.0. Eligible Grantees.....	5
2.1. General Eligibility Requirements.....	5
2.2. Strategic Objectives.....	5
2.3. Disadvantaged Community Investment and Co-Benefits.....	6
2.4. Climate Change.....	7

Section 3. Eligible Project Categories

3.0. Eligible Project Categories.....	9
3.1. Resource Protection and Restoration Projects.....	9
3.2. Vegetation Management and Fire Safety Projects.....	9
3.3. Visitor Serving Development and Improvement Projects.....	10
3.4. Community Access, Education and Interpretation Projects.....	10

Section 4. Grant Application Process

4.0. Grant Cycle.....	11
4.1. Technical Assistance.....	11
4.2. Submission of Application.....	11
4.3. Contents of Application.....	12
4.4. Conservation Corps.....	13
4.5. Review and Evaluation.....	13

Section 5. Application Evaluation

5.0. Application Review.....	13
5.1. Resource Protection and Restoration Projects.....	13
5.2. Vegetation Management and Fire Safety Projects.....	13
5.3. Visitor Serving Development and Improvement Projects.....	14
5.4. Community Access, Education and Interpretation Projects.....	14
5.5. Climate Change Value.....	14

Section 6. Additional Information

6.0. Ongoing Monitoring.....	15
6.1. Eligible Costs.....	15
6.2. Advance Payments.....	15

Section 7. Appendix.....	17
--------------------------	----

Section 1. Introduction

1.0. *Preamble.* The California Drought, Water, Parks, Climate, Coastal Protection, and Outdoor Access for All Act of 2018 (Proposition 68) is codified as Division 45 (commencing with Section 80000) and Sections 5096.611 and 75089.5 of the Public Resources Code and Section 79772.5 of the Water Code. Proposition 68 authorizes \$4 billion in general obligation bonds to finance a drought, water, parks, climate, coastal protection, and outdoor access for all program. The Baldwin Hills Conservancy's ("Conservancy") Proposition 68 Grant Program Guidelines ("Guidelines") specifically pertain to grants funded by Proposition 68 and administered by the Conservancy.

Section 80001. (b) It is the intent of the people of California that all of the following shall occur in the implementation of this division:

- (1) The investment of public funds pursuant to this division will result in public benefits that address the most critical statewide needs and priorities for public funding.
- (2) In the appropriation and expenditure of funding authorized by this division, priority will be given to projects that leverage private, federal, or local funding or produce the greatest public benefit.
- (3) To the extent practicable, a project that receives moneys pursuant to this division will include signage informing the public that the project received funds from the California Drought, Water, Parks, Climate, Coastal Protection, and Outdoor Access for All Act of 2018.
- (4) To the extent practicable, when developing program guidelines for urban recreation projects and habitat protection or restoration projects, administering entities are encouraged to give favorable consideration to projects that provide urban recreation and protect or restore natural resources. Additionally, the entities may pool funding for these projects.
- (5) To the extent practicable, a project that receives moneys pursuant to this division will provide workforce education and training, contractor, and job opportunities for disadvantaged communities.
- (6) To the extent practicable, priority for funding pursuant to this division will be given to local parks projects that have obtained all required permits and entitlements and a commitment of matching funds, if required.
- (7) To the extent practicable, administering entities should measure or require measurement of greenhouse gas emissions reductions and carbon sequestrations associated with projects that receive moneys pursuant to this division.

Chapter 8 of Proposition 68, entitled "State Conservancy, Wildlife Conservation Board, and Authority Funding," allocates one hundred eighty million dollars (\$180,000,000) to state conservancies for projects according to their governing statutes for their specified purposes. Six million dollars (\$6,000,000) of the funds available in Chapter 8 is allocated to the Baldwin Hills Conservancy.

1.1. *Purpose.* These guidelines advise grant applicants with respect to the requirements and expectations of Proposition 68 funds granted by the Conservancy. For administrative and procedural guidance, grant applicants can review the Conservancy's Grant Administration Manual.

1.2. *Definitions.* For purposes of these Guidelines, the following definitions shall apply:

Community access: engagement programs, technical assistance, or facilities that maximize safe and equitable physical admittance, especially for low-income communities, to natural or cultural resources, community education, or recreational amenities.

Community Conservation Corps: means a nonprofit public benefit corporation formed or operating pursuant to Part 2 (commencing with Section 5110) of Division 2 of Title 1 of the Corporations Code, or an agency operated by a city, county, or city and county, that is certified by the California Conservation Corps as meeting all of criteria identified in Section 14507.5 of the Public Resources Code.

Conservation actions on private lands: projects with willing landowners that involve the adaptive flexible management or protection of natural resources in response to changing conditions and threats to habitat and wildlife. The actions may include the acquisition of conservation interests or fee interests in the land. These projects result in habitat conditions on private lands that, when managed dynamically over time, contribute to the long-term health and resiliency of vital ecosystems and enhance wildlife populations.

Disadvantaged community: a community with a median household income less than 80 percent of the statewide average.

Feasible: Possible to do easily or conveniently.

Interpretation: includes, but is not limited to, a visitor-serving amenity that enhances the ability to understand and appreciate the significance and value of natural, historical, and cultural resources and that may utilize educational materials in multiple languages, digital information, and the expertise of a naturalist or other skilled specialist.

Preservation: rehabilitation, stabilization, restoration, conservation development and reconstruction or any combination of those activities.

Protection: those actions necessary to prevent harm or damage to persons, property, or natural, cultural, and historic resources, actions to improve access to public open-space areas, or actions to allow the continued use and enjoyment of property or natural, cultural, and historic resources, and includes site monitoring, acquisition, development, restoration, preservation, and interpretation.

Potential: Capable of becoming real, and plausible given regulatory, financial and physical conditions.

Promote: To give publicity toward so as to increase public awareness.

Restoration: The improvement of physical structures or facilities and, in the case of natural systems and landscape features, includes, but is not limited to, projects for the control of erosion, stormwater capture and storage or to otherwise reduce stormwater pollution, the control and elimination of invasive species, the planting of native species, the removal of waste and debris, prescribed burning, fuel hazard reduction, fencing out threats to existing or restored natural resources, road elimination, improving instream, riparian, or managed wetland habitat conditions, and other plant and wildlife habitat improvement to increase the natural system value of the property or coastal or ocean resource. Restoration also includes activities described in subdivision (b) of Section 79737 of the Water Code. Restoration projects shall include the planning, monitoring, and reporting necessary to ensure successful implementation of the project objectives.

Severely disadvantaged community: A community with a median household income less than 60 percent of the statewide average.

Watershed Health: A condition achieved when a watershed's ecological systems are properly functioning, and the watershed is resilient to, and recovers rapidly from, risks such as wildfire, climate change impacts, and loss of biological integrity.

Section 2. Eligibility and Priorities

2.0. Eligible Grantees. Applicants eligible to apply for Proposition 68 grant funding from the Conservancy include public agencies such as districts, joint powers authorities, cities, and counties, nonprofit organizations, public utilities, federally recognized Indian tribes, and state Indian tribes listed on the Native American Heritage Commission's California Tribal Consultation List.

2.1. General Eligibility Requirements. To be eligible for Proposition 68 grant funding, all projects must:

- Meet the required application and project selection criteria detailed in the subsequent sections;
- Be within the Baldwin Hills Conservancy Territory;
- Conform with the Baldwin Hills Park Master Plan and Conservancy's Strategic Plan;
- Advance Baldwin Hills Conservancy statutory programs and mission;
- Meet one or more of the purposes of Prop 68;
- Contribute to the State's Climate Change Policies and Programs;
- Comply with General Bond Obligation Law, commencing with Government Code Section 16720

2.2. Strategic Objectives. The Conservancy's strategic objectives are guided by the goals of acquiring open space and managing public lands to provide recreation, restoration and protection of wildlife habitat within the territory for the public's enjoyment and educational experience. They are updated regularly to reflect the needs and desires of the public and provide guidance for successful implementation of the Conservancy's mission. The Conservancy's strategic objectives include:

Implement the Baldwin Hills Park Master Plan

- Increase active recreation opportunities for underserved communities
- Create a comprehensive trail system

- Provide for public access and entry way
- Protect and restore natural habitat
- Protect critical view sheds
- Protect and improve urban water quality
- Emphasize connections between existing parks, trails, and urban streams
- Restore industrial lands to park and open-space purposes
- Protect watersheds connecting to Santa Monica Bay

Implement recommendations from the Ballona Creek Trail and Bikeway Environmental and Recreational Enhancement Study

- Construct connections from the Baldwin Hills to Ballona Creek
- Install consistent signage for bike path
- Identify parcels for significant habitat restoration, recreation opportunities and or interpretive sites
- Implement monitoring program to evaluate Ballona Creek's water quality
- Establish education projects along Ballona Creek

Expand Education, Public Access, and Resource Stewardship Components in a Manner That Best Serves the Public, Protects Habitat, and Provides Recreational Opportunities

- Expand local government, school district, and nonprofit ventures to provide increased educational and access opportunities
- Restore habitat areas strategically to protect species and ecosystems through acquisition and cooperation with other resource management entities
- Increase resource stewardship capabilities within the territory
- Create comprehensive, regional, and integrated trails plan
- Develop long term capital improvement and maintenance plans

2.3. Disadvantaged Community Investment and Co-Benefits. The Conservancy will allocate at least 20 percent of the funds available for projects serving disadvantaged and severely disadvantaged communities, pursuant to section 8008(a)(1) of Proposition 68. It is the responsibility of the applicant to provide information regarding this qualification.

People who live in disadvantaged communities face health disparities due to poor air quality, exposure to harmful pollution, and lack of public amenities and services. The Conservancy is committed to immediate investment in such areas to mitigate the disproportionate negative impacts felt in low-income and highly polluted areas. In addition to the required investment in defined disadvantaged communities, applicants are encouraged to submit projects that are identified as high or very high need in the Los Angeles County Park Needs Assessment or are located in or adjacent to a disadvantaged community as defined by [CalEnviroScreen 3.0 tool](#).

Applicants are also encouraged to describe non-climate related co-benefits, such as job creation, youth employment and job training, recreation, public health benefits, or programs that engage local communities through outreach, education, and interpretation, particularly as it relates to long-term stewardship and climate change awareness.

2.4. *Climate Change*. Concurrently with these efforts, the State is tackling the unprecedented set of challenges posed by climate change. The task is large but not insurmountable; the State has taken a leadership role with the passage of the Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 (Assembly Bill 32), Executive Order B-30-15, Safeguarding California – California’s Climate Adaptation Strategy (Safeguarding California), the 2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan (Scoping Plan) and the Integrated Climate Adaptation and Resiliency Program (ICARP). **The Conservancy’s Guidelines and project scoring criteria are designed to fund projects that help achieve the State’s foremost goals of outdoor access and reaching the State’s greenhouse gas reduction targets in compliance with these guiding documents.**

Natural resource protection is identified as a critical component of the State’s ability to adapt to climate change and growing population demands, as well as meet its short and long-term greenhouse gas reduction goals as articulated in the [Global Climate Change Solutions Act of 2006 \(AB 32\)](#). AB 32 declares global warming a serious threat to the environment of California and requires California to reduce its total greenhouse gas (GHG) emission levels to 1990 levels by 2020. With the passage of the AB 32, the State of California demonstrated early leadership in the challenging task of tackling global climate change.

[Executive Order B-30-15](#) (April 2015) established a new interim statewide greenhouse gas emission reduction target to reduce greenhouse gas emissions to 40 percent below 1990 levels by 2030 is established in order to ensure California meets its target of reducing greenhouse gas emissions to 80 percent below 1990 levels by 2050 and provided directions to State agencies including:

State agencies shall take climate change into account in their planning and investment decisions, and employ full life-cycle cost accounting to evaluate and compare infrastructure investments and alternatives.

State agencies' planning and investment shall be guided by the following principles:

Priority should be given to actions that both build climate preparedness and reduce greenhouse gas emissions;

Where possible, flexible and adaptive approaches should be taken to prepare for uncertain climate impacts;

Actions should protect the state's most vulnerable populations; and

Natural infrastructure solutions should be prioritized.

In addition to climate change mitigation and water conservation, the Governor’s Executive Order B-30-15 specifically directs the California Natural Resources Agency to update and implement the State’s Climate Adaptation Plan, [Safeguarding California](#), which highlights many of the cross-sectoral opportunities and benefits of reducing climate risks through natural resource protection. The update to Safeguarding is focused on six Comprehensive State Adaptation Strategies:

Consider climate change in all core functions of government.

Partner with California's most vulnerable populations to increase equity and resilience through investments, planning, research, and education.

Support continued climate research and data tools.

Identify significant and sustainable funding sources for investments that reduce climate risks, harm to people, and disaster spending.

Prioritize natural infrastructure solutions, actions that both build climate preparedness and reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and projects that produce multiple benefits.

Promote collaborative adaptation processes with local and regional government partners

Investment in natural resource preservation in the near term will accomplish many of the goals discussed in *Safeguarding California*. Protected open spaces contribute to the emergency management readiness of the state by acting as buffers for storm, flood, and fire impacts to developed communities. *Safeguarding California* also emphasizes the need to support climate research and data tools to inform policy and risk reduction activities, and prioritize climate risk communication, education, and outreach.

As the Air Resources Board's [California's 2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan](#) emphasizes, climate change can be a great unifier. It gives us the opportunity to focus on doing more with less; to work across programmatic, policy and political boundaries; and to figure out ways to achieve various goals more quickly and more effectively. The Scoping Plan identifies the Natural and Working Lands (NWL) sector as a key contributor to achieving the state's 2030 GHG emission reduction goals through carbon sequestration and avoidance, and specifically targets a reduction of 15-20 million metric tons by 2030. The Scoping plan identifies the following framework for policy and programs related to land protection, carbon sequestration and innovative biomass utilization:

Protect land from conversion to more intensified uses by increasing conservation opportunities and pursuing local planning processes, and urban and infrastructure development patterns that avoid greenfield development. The latter is being done in coordination with transportation and infrastructure climate policy, as described in prior sections of this Proposed Plan.

Enhance the resilience of and potential for carbon sequestration on those lands through management and restoration, and reduce GHG and black carbon emissions from wildfire and management activities. This includes expansion and management of green space in urban areas.

Innovate biomass utilization such that harvested wood and excess agricultural and forest biomass can be used to advance statewide objectives for renewable energy and fuels, wood product manufacturing, agricultural markets, and soil health, resulting in avoided GHG emissions relative to traditional utilization pathways. Associated activities should increase the resilience of rural communities and economies.

The Integrated Climate Adaptation and Resiliency Program (ICARP) developed the [Planning and Investing for a Resilient California: A Guidebook for State Agencies](#) prepared by the Governor's Office of Planning and Research to provide state agencies with guidance on how to integrate climate change into planning and investment with the following strategies:

Identify how climate change could affect a project or plan by identifying impacts or concern and assessing the scale, scope and context of climate disruption.

Conduct an analysis of climate risks by selecting climate change scenarios for analysis and selecting an analytical approach.

Make a climate-informed decision by evaluating alternative or designs and applying resilient decision principles.

Track and monitor progress by evaluating and adjusting metrics.

These mandates, along with other policies and plans, require that the Conservancy fund projects which synergistically address climate change, while addressing the needs of California's most vulnerable populations and natural resources.

Natural spaces become long-term venues for on-going research, data collection, and monitoring of current and future climate conditions, while protecting precious biodiversity and public amenities. Urban green spaces decrease electricity consumption by reducing the heat island effect, sequester carbon, conserve water, and encourage non-motorized transportation. In addition, parks and open spaces improve public health and boost the resilience of communities by providing respite from urban environments, enhancing air and water quality, and promoting low-cost recreation for communities of all socio-economic levels.

The natural resources sector presents tremendous opportunities, because investment in open space and urban greening can yield outdoor access, water quality and quantity benefits, while mitigating climate change and achieving co-benefits such as job creation, investment in disadvantaged communities, and improving public health and recreation opportunities.

Section 3. Eligible Project Categories

3.0. Eligible Project Categories. The Guidelines identify general project categories that may be eligible for funding. All eligible projects must meet the eligibility requirements in order to be considered for funding. Applicants are encouraged to work with Conservancy staff if a project does not fall within one of these categories, to determine if it may be otherwise eligible.

3.1. Resource Protection and Restoration Projects. Projects which facilitate the protection and restoration of wildlife, habitat, and historical/archaeological resources, including habitat restoration projects.

3.2. Vegetation Management and Fire Safety Projects. Projects which facilitate fire safety and any required or recommended fuel modification zones.

3.3 *Visitor Serving Development and Improvement Projects.* Projects which provide for enhanced visitation, urban accessibility and safety to open space, parks and greenspace (including signage, restrooms, entrances, parking, trail building or repairs, etc...). This includes new projects to implement statutory requirements to provide better accessibility under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).

3.4. *Community Access, Education and Interpretation Projects.* Projects which are required to achieve or expand the outreach mission of the Conservancy, and provide interpretive programs and materials to substantially enhance knowledge, appreciation, and enjoyment of the natural environment, open space, parklands and waterways. There is no minimum grant size but the maximum grant award is \$100,000. If funding is available, the Conservancy will award these grants every year. Project examples include:

Training and Education

Natural Science and/or Educational programs that provide access and education to local students to develop critical thinking skills and to inspire natural resource stewardship. The curriculum may feed into workforce development.

A training program or similar that focuses on developing, organizing and advocacy skills, civic engagement and local community action regarding natural resources.

Training that focuses on environmental justice, air quality, water quality testing and monitoring, rivers and streams, outdoor exploration, field trips, advocacy training, and exposure to outdoor careers

Water-focused education and training and trips throughout California to allow students to explore and learn about California's sources of water and meet with elected leaders, utility staff, and tribal leaders to develop a sense of water stewardship.

Training that focuses on how Los Angeles accesses water to be provided in multiple languages.

Transportation

Trips that provide an introduction to nature, physical exercise and healthy lifestyles through mountain bike rides in public open spaces.

Programs that provide bus transportation and a naturalist to facilitate a trip and provide resources interpretation to visitors who do not have the ability to visit a park.

Shuttle service to provide access to the trails.

Workforce/ Career pathways

Youth leadership programs that provide training that leads directly to job opportunities in the parks through both community organizations and partner park agencies.

Programs that provide job training and employment pathways for young adults and connects youth with park-based job training and employment. Graduates of this program may use the skills and experience gained to launch academic and professional careers in outdoor education and land management.

Health and Physical activity

Programs that provides ongoing outdoor recreation, education, therapy and environmental stewardship programs on public land at no cost to disadvantaged youth.

Interpretation

Language services to allow access and provide multi-lingual and multi-ability signage at trailheads and on trails.

Section 4. Grant Application Process

4.0. *Grant Cycle.* The Conservancy operates on a 3-month (quarterly) grant cycle. Final due dates for each round are March 31st, June 30th, September 30th, and December 31st. Grants will be recommended to the Board by the end of each quarter. The Conservancy accepts grant applications at any time within the grant cycle, but may not award grant funds for an application unless the Conservancy has been appropriated with sufficient unencumbered grant funds for the application in question. The Conservancy does not anticipate funding for a particular fiscal year and will proceed with consideration of grant applications only after the Governor has signed the budget for the fiscal year in question. The Legislature appropriates funds from bond acts on an annual basis; a legislative appropriation for projects is generally valid for a five-year period.

4.1. *Technical Assistance.* Pursuant to Section 8008(b)(1) of Proposition 68, up to 10 percent of the funds may be allocated for technical assistance to disadvantaged communities. The Conservancy will facilitate or provide access to a Technical Assistance Program (TAP) to support direct application assistance with the goal of facilitating access to the Conservancy's funding programs. TAP creates a more equitable playing field by helping under-resourced applicants access Conservancy funds.

TAP program goals include:

Direct Assistance - Increase the number of successful applications from disadvantaged and low-income communities to Conservancy programs by providing direct assistance in preparing applications and quantifying greenhouse gas reductions.

Integrating Conservancy Programs - Align technical assistance efforts to facilitate an understanding of the full suite of programs available under the Conservancy umbrella, and provide the services necessary to create multi-faceted projects that integrate more than one Conservancy program.

The TAP program will be available annually, and will include outreach and programs to provide application assistance to eligible applicants, enabling them to positively impact their communities.

4.2. *Submission of Application.* As the initial, formal step in the Conservancy grant application process, the applicant shall submit an original and one copy of a fully completed grant application form to:

Baldwin Hills Conservancy 5120 West Goldleaf Circe, #290, Los Angeles CA 90056

In lieu of hard copies, applications may be submitted via electronic mail in PDF format addressed to info@bhc.ca.gov, provided that a fully executed original is mailed or delivered to the above address.

All applicants must have a contact e-mail address that is regularly monitored. Primary communication regarding the status of an application will be via electronic mail. If an applicant does not have any access to email or is unable to use email due to a disability, the applicant should notify the Conservancy in order to develop an alternative communication protocol.

4.3. *Contents of Application.* The grant application must include the following:

1. A completed grant application form;
2. A completed grantee data form;
3. A completed payee data record (STD. 204);
4. A detailed scope of work, including a list of specific tasks, a detailed budget, and a timeline for project implementation (including an estimated completion date for each task);
5. Any preliminary project plans as required;
6. A detailed description of the need and urgency for the grant;
7. A detailed description of how the project will provide multi-benefit ecosystem, water quality, water supply, and watershed protection and public benefits;
8. A detailed description of how the project achieves one or more of the purposes of Proposition 68;
9. A detailed description of how the project helps meet the State's greenhouse gas emissions reductions targets, including a quantification of the metric tons of CO₂ or CO₂e removed or avoided, and an explanation of the methodology used to quantify this figure;
10. A detailed description of how the project will promote and implement other relevant regional and state plans and policies;
11. Indicate whether the project will have matching funds from private, local, or federal sources, and if so, to what extent;

12. Indicate whether the project will benefit a disadvantaged community;
13. Indicate whether the project will use the services of local or state conservation corps;
14. A detailed description of any new or innovative technology or practices that will be applied to the project;
15. A detailed method for monitoring and reporting on the progress and effectiveness of the project during and after project implementation;
16. A description of how the scope of work will further the Conservancy's strategic objectives;
17. A description of how the scope of work will protect or enhance Ballona Creek (if applicable).

4.4. *California Conservation Corps.* Section 80016 of the Public Resources Code states that, 'to the extent feasible, a project whose application includes the use of services of the California Conservation Corps (CCC) or certified community conservation corps, as defined in Section 14507.5, shall be given preference for receipt of a grant under this division.' The Conservancy encourages the use of Conservation corps whenever feasible when implementing restoration and ecosystem protection projects. To receive maximum additional points and preference, applicants should consult with the corps of their choice. Please email (Prop68@ccc.ca.gov) & (Inquiry@Prop68CommunityCorps.org).

4.5. *Review and Evaluation.* For those grant applications that are deemed complete after the initial review, legal review, policy review, and review of all application materials, the grant applications will then be evaluated and scored by professionals in the fields relevant to the proposed projects. Additional reviewers may be required if there is a large discrepancy in the individual scores of the proposed project. The final score of each grant application will be the average of the individual scores given by each reviewer to the grant application.

Section 5. Application Evaluation

5.0. *Application Review.* Conservancy staff will evaluate the applications based on the project's relative significance and how well it meets the Selection Criteria stated below. Applicants may be contacted to provide additional information during the review process. Conservancy staff may seek assistance in evaluating the proposals from individuals and/or technical experts with pertinent expertise from other governmental agencies, non-profit organizations, and other entities.

5.1. *Resource Protection and Restoration Projects.* Projects which facilitate the protection and restoration of wildlife, habitat, and historical/archaeological resources, including habitat restoration projects in urban or rural areas.

Detailed rating qualifications are available in the appendix.

5.2. *Vegetation Management Projects.* Projects which facilitate fire safety and any required or recommended brush fuel modification opportunities.

Detailed rating qualifications are available in the appendix.

5.3 Visitor Serving Development and Improvement Projects. Projects which provide for enhanced visitation, urban accessibility and safety to open space, parks and greenspace (including signage, restrooms, parking, trail building or repairs, etc...). This includes new projects to implement statutory requirements to provide better accessibility under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).

Detailed rating qualifications are available in the appendix.

5.4. Community Access, Education and Interpretation Projects. Projects which are required to achieve or expand the outreach mission of the Conservancy, and provide interpretive programs and materials to substantially enhance knowledge, appreciation, and enjoyment of the natural environment, open space, parklands and rivers.

In addition to the ratings below, projects will be evaluated against the following criteria:

- Achieve one or more of the identified community access priorities;
- Fills a documented and clearly defined need;
- Project description is clear and concise;
- All other project funding is available; and
- Project is ready to proceed in a timely manner.

Detailed rating qualifications are available in the appendix.

5.5. Climate Change Value. Projects that conserve water and produce verifiable and quantifiable greenhouse gas reductions not only help achieve the state's foremost goals, but will deliver tangible co-benefits to the communities in which the projects are built are eligible for additional consideration. As described in Section 2.5, the Conservancy is to consider climate change when evaluating projects in order to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and address the impacts of climate change on the state's natural resources. Therefore, all project applicants are required to demonstrate if and how their project yields measurable greenhouse gas reductions.

The principal goal of this Section is to ensure that the Conservancy funds the development and implementation of projects that lead to significant reductions in greenhouse gas emissions (GHGs) in a manner consistent with the State Planning Priorities, AB 32, and other state and local Plans. It is meant to support strategic investment in natural resources projects that help cities address sprawl, incentivize urban infill, and create livable, walkable, healthy communities.

A non-exhaustive list of projects that demonstrate a quantifiable impact on greenhouse gas emissions can be found below. Projects should present innovative activities that reduce GHG emissions, and that are capable of replication in other project sites. Project applicants are encouraged to bring additional project ideas to Conservancy staff.

- Strategic acquisitions to reduce the impacts of development and vehicle miles traveled;
- Urban park or greenway projects with a water focus that are co-located with other public amenities to promote infill development by providing open space and public recreation;

- Multiple benefit projects that incorporate green infrastructure or water recycling and filtration techniques to produce verifiable water and energy savings;
- Projects that protect, enhance, or restore water resources including wetlands and urban riparian areas;
- Projects that enhance above and below ground carbon storage through planting trees and other vegetation;
- Projects that mitigate heat island effect and improve air quality through tree planting in urban areas dominated by hardscape;
- Project components that demonstrate a reduction in baseline greenhouse gas emissions through other innovative techniques or project designs, such as diverting organic material from landfills, or installing renewable energy technology at a project site.

Applicants may include the cost of implementing such technologies or techniques in their grant applications. This requirement applies to all projects receiving funds pursuant to these Guidelines.

Section 6. Additional Information

6.0. *Ongoing Monitoring.* If selected, Grantees may be required to re-evaluate or update quantification metrics as the project reaches various milestones and/or as additional information or technology becomes available. If, during this process, a project is not successful in meeting its greenhouse gas reduction targets, the Conservancy reserves the right to work with Grantees to modify the project, if such modifications are within the scope of the Grant Agreement. If the Grantee is unable or unwilling to make such modifications in a time period established by the Conservancy, the Conservancy may withdraw funding. If necessary project modifications do not fall within the scope of the grant, the Conservancy will reevaluate the project type and methodology to ensure that future similar projects will be successful.

6.1. *Eligible Costs.* Proposition 68 grant funding may only be expended on eligible costs, pursuant to statute. Eligible costs are those which further the Purposes of Proposition 68, in compliance with the Conservancy's Grant agreement and the General Obligation Bond Law.

Pursuant to section 8008(c)(1), up to 5 percent of funds the funds awarded may be allocated for community access costs that include, but are not limited to, transportation, physical activity programming, resource interpretation, multilingual translation, natural science, workforce development and career pathways, education, and communication related to water, parks, climate, coastal protection, and other outdoor pursuits. The Conservancy is committed to using the maximum (5%) allocation for community access projects. In addition to the community access project type category, the Conservancy encourages community engagement and participation in all projects. Therefore, such expenses are considered eligible costs as part of any awarded grant (up to 5 percent).

6.2. *Advance Payments.* Pursuant to Section 80030 of Proposition 68, for grants awarded for projects that serve a disadvantaged community, the Conservancy may provide advanced payments in the amount of 25 percent of the grant award to the recipient to initiate the project in a timely manner.

Additional requirements for any recipient or usage of grant advance payments:

Grantee must segregate advanced funds in its accounting records; individual accounts are to be kept

separate and track each project's phases. Interest earnings shall be allocated to each project's

advanced funds. Should Grantee elect to segregate the funds with a separate bank account, banking costs in excess of interest earnings are an eligible grant expense.

Net Interest earnings on Grant Funds must be used on the Project, or returned to the Conservancy.

If funds are advanced and not expended, the unused portion of the funds shall be returned to the Conservancy within 60 days of completion of the Project or the end of the project performance period as shown on the signature page, whichever is earlier.

The Grantee will provide a detailed budget, work plan and detailed site plan to Conservancy for review and approval. The Grantee should also include a revised quarterly payment schedule at this time, identifying any anticipated advances.

Prior to requesting an advance, Conservancy requires a copy of contracts for construction and/or materials (and other) vendors specifying projected payment schedules. Request for advances should be correlated with these contract schedules.

In addition to the above, requests for advances must include all documentation generally required for reimbursements, with the exception of a canceled check or warrant number.

Conservancy will issue the next advance only after receipt of evidence that the prior advance was disbursed. Evidence of disbursement can include a copy of the canceled check or warrant issued; or in lieu of a canceled check or warrant, copies of "paid" invoices from the contractors/vendors to which the advance was made.

Section 7. Appendix
7.0 Project Rating Details.

Baldwin Hills Conservancy		
3.1 Resource Protection and Restoration		
Project Evaluation Criteria		
Prioritization Value		Rating
PV1	Project achieves 80001(b)(3)(4) by providing urban recreation and protecting or restoring natural resources.	3
PV2	Project achieves 80001(b)(6) by having already obtained all required permits and entitlements.	3
PV3	Project achieves 80001(b)(9) - advances solutions to prevent displacement if a potential unintended consequence associated with the project is an increase in the cost of housing.	3
PV4	Project achieves three or more actions listed in 80001(b)(8)(A through G).	3
PV5	Project achieves more than one of the Conservancy's Strategic Objectives.	3
PV6	Project addresses two or more of the elements of the BH Master Plan*	3
Total Prioritization Value		15-18*
Category Value		Rating
C1	The project will provide benefits to multiple values including water, recreation, habitat, and interpretation of natural resources.	4
C2	The site consists of primarily undisturbed habitat.	4
C3	The site contains largely undisturbed communities with species diversity.	4
C4	The site has documented use by an animal species that is a candidate for State or federal listing.	3
C5	The site contains a plant species that is a candidate for State or federal listing.	3
C6	The site contains a plant species that is rare or unusual in the region.	2
C7	The site contains an area of riparian or wetland habitat that integrates with upper watershed.	1-4
C8	The project results in new public access to a natural resource with high interpretive and/or educational value, or enhances existing access.	4
C9	The project contains a link in a local trail system.	4

C10	The project provides a high quality access point for nearby open space, parkland, regional multi-modal trails, or water-based recreation, including suitable area for parking and restrooms.	3
C11	The project protects critical viewshed within a major trail corridor.	3
C12	The project alleviates stress on other overburdened recreational resources within a 0.5 mile radius.	2
C13	Project results in additional uses for users of a wide range of ability levels.	4
C14	The project contains multi-modal accommodations that will benefit hikers, cyclists and equestrians.	3
C15	The project is designed to accommodate the needs of users from a variety of cultural and economic backgrounds.	3
C16	The project provides a new vista point or overlook for a significant scenic viewshed.	2
C17	The site contains a suitable area for a recreational facility—, campground, picnic area, or interpretive center; with existing road access.	4
C18	The site is easily accessible from urban communities and contains suitable areas for parking and restrooms.	4
C19	The site contributes to an existing or proposed corridor or greenway.	4
C20	The site contains substantial-sized or representative sample of a native plant community surrounded by dense urban development.	3
C21	The majority of the site is part of a watershed draining directly into an ecologically sensitive protected area.	4
C22	The site directly abuts and increases the ecosystem function of a protected habitat area.	3
C23	The site directly contributes to the connection of two habitat areas by serving as a habitat linkage or movement corridor for wildlife.	4
C24	The site provides a location for an environmentally-significant riparian or wetland restoration project.	3
C25	The site contains potential for restoration of natural vegetation.	3
C26	The habitat is partially degraded, but conditions are suitable for natural regeneration or restoration.	4
C27	The site is available under extraordinary bargain or opportunity sale conditions.	4
C28	Current appraisal has been done or is under review by Department of General Services.	1
C29	The owner of the site is a known willing seller.	1
Total Category Value		76-94

Implementation Value		Rating
I1	Applicant has proven that implementation of the project is feasible.	3
I2	Applicant has financial and technical capacity to perform project.	3
I3	Applicant, or active project partner, has successfully completed multiple projects of similar size and scope.	3
I4	The project is a partnership between two or more organizations and each organization has committed to contributing toward project implementation.	2
I5	Applicant has conducted outreach to the affected communities.	2
I6	Applicant, or project partner, has 1+ years experience operating projects of similar size and scope.	1
I7	Applicant has identified maintenance funding for at least 2 years after completion.	1
I8	Project has approval from all landowners to complete the project, or Applicant is the landowner.	1
Total Implementation Value		16
Climate Change Value		Rating
CC1	The project acquires, preserves, or restores natural areas at risk of development and quantifiably avoids emissions associated with development.	3
CC2	The project contributes to tree canopy cover and/or greenways in urban areas to mitigate heat island effects and promote public health and recreation.	3
CC3	The project acquires and/or maintains wildlife corridors and linkages to provide connections between areas of undeveloped lands, particularly significant public lands and key habitat ecosystems.	2
CC4	The project develops or maintains multi-use trails that connect communities, provides access to public resources and reduces vehicle miles traveled.	2
CC5	The project engages local communities through outreach, education, and interpretation regarding long-term stewardship and climate change awareness.	2
CC6	The project implements water saving techniques that utilize nature-based solutions like wetlands, rain gardens, swales, berms, curb cuts with parkway basins, infiltration trenches, vegetation, tree planting, or stream daylighting/restoration.	3
Total Climate Change Value		15
Additional Consideration		Rating

AC1	The site is subject to an imminent threat that would preclude future implementation value.	5
AC2	Project utilizes a Corps.or.a.local job training entity.....	5
AC3	Project is within 0.25 miles of public transportation.	5
AC4	Project serves a disadvantaged community.	5
AC5	Project serves a severely disadvantaged community.	5
Total Additional Consideration		25
Summary Resource Protection and Restoration Rating		Rating
Prioritization Value		15-18*
Category Value		76-94
Implementation Value		16
Climate Change Value		15
Additional Consideration		25
Total Resource Protection and Restoration Rating		147-168
Matching Funds		
	Total Matching Funds Rating	1-2

Baldwin Hills Conservancy		
3.2 Vegetation Management		
Project Evaluation Criteria		
Prioritization Value		Rating
PV1	Project achieves 80001(b)(3)(4) by providing urban recreation and protecting or restoring natural resources.	3
PV2	Project achieves 80001(b)(5) by providing workforce education and training, contractor, and job opportunities for disadvantaged communities.	3
PV3	Project achieves more than one of the Conservancy's Strategic Objectives.	3
PV4	Project addresses two or more of the elements of the BH Master Plan*	3
Total Prioritization Value		9-12*
Category Value		Rating
CV1	The project implements public safety practices by reducing wildfire risk.	4
CV2	The project implements fuel treatment projects to reduce wildfire risks, protect watersheds and promote watershed health.	4
CV3	The project substantially restores a site by removal of exotic species to reduce wildfire risk and promote watershed health.	4
CV4	The project substantially restores a site by reestablishment of native species to reduce wildfire risk and promote watershed health.	4
CV5	The project will provide benefits to multiple resource areas including water, recreation, habitat, and interpretation of natural resources.	3
CV6	The project employs new or innovative technology or practices.	5
CV7	The project adds visitor-serving amenities, accessibility, and public safety improvements to public parkland with multiple ecosystem benefits.	2
Total Category Value		26
Implementation Value		Rating
I1	Applicant has proven that implementation of the project is feasible.	3
I2	Applicant has financial capacity to perform project on a reimbursable basis.	3
I3	Applicant, or active project partner, has successfully completed multiple projects of similar size and scope.	3
I4	The project is a partnership between two or more organizations and each organization has committed to contributing toward project implementation.	2
I5	Applicant has conducted outreach to the affected communities.	2
I6	Applicant, or project partner, has 1+ years experience operating projects of similar size and scope.	1
I7	Project has approval from all landowners to complete the project, or Applicant is the landowner.	1
Total Implementation Value		15
Climate Change Value		Rating

CC1	The project demonstrates a reduction in baseline greenhouse gas emissions through carbon sequestration or other innovative techniques or project designs, such as diverting organic material from landfills.	3
CC2	The project implements water saving technologies and techniques to yield quantifiable water and energy savings. Such techniques may include the use of drought-efficient landscaping, including climate resilient and native landscaping whenever possible, stormwater filtration, impervious surfaces, green roofs and other forms of water capture and storage.	3
CC3	The project contributes to tree canopy cover and/or greenways in urban areas to mitigate heat island effects and promote public health and recreation.	3
CC4	The project develops or maintains multi-use trails that connect communities, provides access to public resources and reduces vehicle miles traveled.	2
CC5	The project engages local communities through outreach, education, and interpretation regarding long-term stewardship and climate change awareness.	2
CC6	The project implements water saving techniques that utilize nature-based solutions like wetlands, rain gardens, swales, berms, curb cuts with parkway basins, infiltration trenches, vegetation, tree planting, or stream daylighting/restoration.	3
Total Climate Change Value		13
Additional Consideration		Rating
AC1	Project utilizes a Corps.or.local job training entity.	5
AC2	Project serves a disadvantaged community.	5
AC3	Project serves a severely disadvantaged community.	5
Total Additional Consideration		15
Summary Vegetation Management Rating		Rating
Prioritization Value		9-12
Category Value		26
Implementation Value		15
Climate Change Value		13
Additional Consideration		15
Total Vegetation Management Rating		78-81*
Matching Funds		
	Total Matching Funds Rating	1-2

Baldwin Hills Conservancy		
3.3 Visitor Serving Development and Improvement Projects		
Project Evaluation Criteria		
Prioritization Value		Rating
PV1	Project achieves 80001(b)(3)(4) by providing urban recreation and protecting or restoring natural resources.	3
PV2	Project achieves 80001(b)(5) by providing workforce education and training, contractor, and job opportunities for disadvantaged communities.	3
PV3	Project achieves 80001(b)(6) by having already obtained all required permits and entitlements.	1-3
PV4	Project achieves 80001(b)(9) - advances solutions to prevent displacement if a potential unintended consequence associated with the project is an increase in the cost of housing.*	3
PV5	Project achieves three or more actions listed in 80001(b)(8)(A through G).	3
PV6	Project achieves more than one of the Conservancy's Strategic Objectives.	3
PV7	Project addresses one or more of the elements of the BH Master Plan*	3
Total Prioritization Value		15-21*
Category Value		Rating
CV1	The project will provide benefits to multiple values including water, recreation, habitat, and interpretation of natural resources.	2-4
CV2	The project implements a major component of an existing relevant plan related to a major recreational facility, regional ecosystem restoration, or land protection.	4
CV3	The project provides a plan or feasibility study to advance cooperative watershed health protection activities or recreation goals important to two or more organizations.*	2
CV4	Applicant has a realistic plan for serving disadvantaged communities upon completion of the improvements.	1-3
CV5	The project uses renewable or non-potable water sources of water, such as reclaimed water, captured stormwater, or other method.	1-4
CV6	Project implements Best Management Practices (BMP) to treat stormwater.	1-3
CV7	Project provides safe and reliable drinking water supplies to park and open space visitors.	2

CV8	The project provides a high quality access point for nearby open space, parkland, regional multi-modal trails, or waterway.	1-3
CV9	The project completes a new, significant link in a regional trail system.	2-4
CV10	Project adds new trail or recreational resources not available within a 0.5 mile radius.	4
CV11	The project contains multi-modal accommodations that will benefit hikers, cyclists and equestrians.	2-3
CV12	The project results in new public access to a natural resource with high interpretive and/or educational value, or enhances existing access.	2-4
CV13	The project provides interpretive elements that will significantly enhance appreciation and enjoyment of a natural resource.	2
CV14	The project creates a new venue, <u>or enhances an existing venue</u> , for education and/or interpretation activities that promote natural resources stewardship.	2-4
CV15	The project alleviates stress on other overburdened recreational resources within a 0.5 mile radius.	2
CV16	The project provides a new vista point or overlook for a significant scenic viewshed.	3
CV17	The project adds visitor-serving amenities, accessibility, and public safety improvements to existing parkland.	3
CV18	Project results in additional uses for users of a wide range of ability levels.	2-4
CV19	The project is designed to accommodate the needs of users from a variety of cultural and economic backgrounds.	3
CV20	The project directly abuts and increases the ecosystem function of a protected habitat area and wildlife corridors.	2
CV21	The project includes restoration, protection or enhancements of a native ecosystem appropriate to the site.	2-4
CV22	The project employs new or innovative technology or practices.	1
Total Category Value		46-68*
Implementation Value		Rating
IV1	Applicant has proven that implementation of the project is feasible.	3
IV2	Applicant has financial capacity to perform project on a reimbursable basis.	3
IV3	Applicant, or active project partner, has successfully completed multiple projects of similar size and scope.	3

IV4	The project is a partnership between two or more organizations and each organization has committed to contributing toward project implementation.	2
IV5	Applicant has conducted outreach to the affected communities.	2
IV6	Applicant, or project partner, has 1+ years experience maintaining and operating projects of similar size and scope.	1
IV7	Applicant has identified maintenance funding for at least 2 years after completion.	1
IV8	Project has approval from all landowners to complete the project, or Applicant is the landowner.	1
Total Implementation Value		16
Climate Change Value		Rating
CC1	The project demonstrates a reduction in baseline greenhouse gas emissions through carbon sequestration or other innovative techniques or project designs, such as diverting organic material from landfills.	3
CC2	The project implements water saving technologies and techniques to yield quantifiable water and energy savings. Such techniques may include the use of drought-efficient landscaping, including climate resilient and native landscaping whenever possible, stormwater filtration, impervious surfaces, green roofs and other forms of water capture and storage.	3
CC3	The project contributes to tree canopy cover and/or greenways in urban areas to mitigate heat island effects and promote public health and recreation.	3
CC4	The project develops or maintains multi-use trails that connect communities, provides access to public resources and reduces vehicle miles traveled.	2
CC5	The project engages local communities through outreach, education, and interpretation regarding long-term stewardship and climate change awareness.	2
CC6	The project implements water saving techniques that utilize nature-based solutions like wetlands, rain gardens, swales, berms, curb cuts with parkway basins, infiltration trenches, vegetation, tree planting, and stream daylighting/restoration.	3
Total Climate Change Value		13
Additional Consideration		Rating
AC1	The site is subject to an imminent threat that would preclude future implementation value.	5
AC2	Project utilizes a Corps or local job training entity.....	5

AC3	Project is within 0.25 miles of public transportation.	5
AC4	Project serves a disadvantaged community.	5
AC5	Project serves a severely disadvantaged community.	5
Total Additional Consideration		25
Summary Visitor Serving Development and Improvement Projects Rating		Rating
Prioritization Value		15-21*
Category Value		46-68
Implementation Value		16
Climate Change Value		13
Additional Consideration		25
Total Visitor Serving Development and Improvement Projects Rating		115-143*
Matching Funds		
	Total Matching Funds Rating	1-2

Baldwin Hills Conservancy		
3.4 Community Access, Education and Interpretation Projects		
Project Evaluation Criteria		
Prioritization Value		Rating
PV1	Project achieves 80001(b)(3)(4) by providing urban recreation and protecting or restoring natural resources.	3
PV2	Project achieves 80001(b)(5) by providing workforce education and training, contractor, and job opportunities for disadvantaged communities.	3
PV3	Project achieves three or more actions listed in 80001(b)(8)(A through G).	3
PV4	Project achieves more than one of the Conservancy's Strategic Objectives.	3
PV5	Project achieves more than two of the BH Master Plan guiding principles.*	3
Total Prioritization Value		12-15*
Category Value		Rating
CV1	The project serves communities defined no less than 81% disadvantaged as defined by the CalEnviroScreen 3.0 tool.	5
CV2	The project will improve or significantly enhance access to open space parkland from a disadvantaged community.	5
CV3	The project is designed to accommodate the needs of users from a variety of cultural and economic backgrounds.	4
CV4	The project provides educational and interpretive experiences that will significantly enhance appreciation and enjoyment of a resource.	4
CV5	The project implements a major component of an existing relevant plan related to a major recreational facility, regional ecosystem restoration, or land protection plan.	1
CV6	The project includes interpretive programming or personal interpretation, and a plan to reach community audiences with meaningful information about a natural resource.	2
CV7	The project includes education programs that provide access and education to low-income student to develop critical thinking skills and to inspire natural resources stewardship	3
CV8	The project includes trips that provide an introduction to nature, physical exercise and healthy lifestyles through natural spaces.	3
CV9	The project includes youth leadership programs that provide training that leads directly to job opportunities in the parks.	2
CV10	The project provides outdoor recreation, education and environmental stewardship programs on public land at no cost to	3

	disadvantaged youth.	
CV11	The project provides non-personal interpretive elements that will significantly enhance appreciation and enjoyment of a natural resource.	2
CV12	The project will provide park information materials and/or educational and interpretive information, appropriate for users from a variety of backgrounds.	3
CV13	The project provides informational materials but to more limited audience.	2
Total Category Value		39
Implementation Value		Rating
IV1	Applicant has proven that implementation of the project is feasible.	3
IV2	Applicant has financial capacity to perform project on a reimbursable basis.	3
IV3	Applicant, or active project partner, has successfully completed multiple projects of similar size and scope.	3
IV4	The project is a partnership between two or more organizations and each organization has committed to contributing toward project implementation.	2
IV5	Applicant, or project partner, has 1+ years experience operating projects of similar size and scope.	1
Total Implementation Value		12
Climate Change Value		Rating
CC1	The project engages local communities through outreach, education, and interpretation regarding long-term stewardship and climate change awareness.	2
Total Climate Change Value		2
Additional Consideration		Rating
AC1	Project utilizes a Corps.or.local.job training entity.....	5
AC2	Project is within 0.25 miles of public transportation.	5
AC3	Project serves a disadvantaged community.	5
AC4	Project serves a severely disadvantaged community.	5
Total Additional Consideration		20
Summary Community Access, Education and Interpretation Projects Rating		Rating
Prioritization Value		12-15*
Category Value		39
Implementation Value		12

Climate Change Value	2
Additional Consideration	20
Total Visitor Serving Development and Improvement Projects Rating	74-77
Matching Funds	
Total Matching Funds Rating	1-2

DRAFT

Labelle, Avril@BHC

From: Gabriel, Andrea@CCC <Andrea.Gabriel@CCC.CA.GOV>
Sent: Tuesday, September 11, 2018 3:49 PM
To: Info@BHC
Cc: Escovedo, Ka-Ryn@CCC
Subject: Comments for BHC's Prop 68 Draft Guidelines

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Categories: Red category

Hi Gail,

I received your contact information from Julie Wolsey from the CCC. I'm currently acting as the CCC liaison for Prop 68 guideline comments and consultation process. I've reviewed your draft guidelines and have some suggestions. Below is the narrative CCC suggests to use in your guidelines; please note that the highlighted phrase should be replaced by the Prop 68 email address that CALCC will be providing in their guideline comments to you:

Proposed text, Page 13:

4.4. California Conservation Corps. Section 80016 of the Public Resources Code states that, "to the extent feasible, a project whose application includes the use of services of the California Conservation Corps (CCC) or certified community conservation corps, as defined in Section 14507.5, shall be given preference for receipt of a grant under this division." The Conservancy encourages the use of conservation corps whenever feasible when implementing natural resource projects. To receive maximum additional points and preference, applicants should consult with the CCC and community conservation corps. Please email Prop68@ccc.ca.gov AND CALCC Prop 68 email to initiate the consultation process.

In addition, we suggest adding the following to your Project Evaluation Criteria: "Applicant consulted with CCC & LCC for feasibility of Corps' services to be used on the project." I think it'd be most appropriate in the "Prioritization Value" section to demonstrate preference for consulting with the CCC and LCC (through CALCC).

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Best regards,

ANDREA GABRIEL

Associate Governmental Program Analyst
Special Projects
California Conservation Corps

1719 24th Street
Sacramento, CA 95816

P: (916) 341-3272

Andrea.Gabriel@ccc.ca.gov
ccc.ca.gov



Hard Work. Low Pay.
Miserable Conditions and More

PRIVATE
NONPROFIT
COMMUNITY
CONSERVATION
CORPS



CIVICORPS (EAST
BAY)

September 11, 2018

CONSERVATION
CORPS NORTH BAY

Jacquelyn Dupont-Walker, Chairperson
Baldwin Hills Conservancy
5120 West Goldleaf Circle, Suite 290
Los Angeles, CA 90056
Attn: Prop 68 Guidelines

CONSERVATION
CORPS OF LONG
BEACH

EOC / FRESNO
LOCAL
CONSERVATION
CORPS

Via email: info@bhc.ca.gov

Re: Comments Re: BHC Proposition 68 Draft Grant Guidelines

GREATER VALLEY
CONSERVATION
CORPS

Dear Chair Dupont-Walker,

On behalf of the California Association of Local Conservation Corps (CALCC), thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback on Baldwin Hills Conservancy's Proposition 68 Draft Grant Guidelines.

LOS ANGELES
CONSERVATION
CORPS

CALCC represents 14 local conservation corps certified by the state's California Conservation Corps. The mission of the LCCs is to develop young men and women with job skills training and educational opportunities, especially in the conservation and clean energy fields. The LCCs offer alternatives to youth unemployment, incarceration, poverty, and substance abuse by providing them with targeted job skills training, career planning, educational opportunities, leadership development, counseling, and soft skills training. Corpmembers participate in programs and projects that address critical state needs, including natural resources and land management projects, energy and climate programs, emergency response and disaster relief, recycling and land diversion programs, and active transportation development.

ORANGE COUNTY
CONSERVATION
CORPS

SACRAMENTO
REGIONAL
CONSERVATION
CORPS

Section 80016 of Proposition 68 contains the following language:

"To the extent feasible, a project whose application includes the use of services of the California Conservation Corps or certified community conservation corps, as defined in Section 14507.5, shall be given preference for receipt of a grant under this division."

SAN FRANCISCO
CONSERVATION
CORPS

The following comments relate to the statutory language referenced above.

SAN JOSE
CONSERVATION
CORPS

Although we appreciate the existing references to the corps in the Conservancy's guidelines, including 1) The definition of "Community Conservation Corps" provided in Section 1.2, 2) The requirement in Section 4.3 of the guidelines that applicants indicate whether the project will use the services of local or state conservation corps, and 3) The reference to Proposition 68's PRC 80016 statutory requirement, we fear that these references in themselves do not specify the exact legal requirement nor clarify the process in which the corps are to be consulted. CALCC suggests the following changes to satisfy the above:

SEQUOIA
COMMUNITY CORPS
(TULARE)

URBAN CORPS OF
THE INLAND EMPIRE

URBAN CORPS
OF SAN DIEGO
COUNTY

Specify the requirements of Section 80016 of Proposition 68 – Section 4.3 item 13 requires that all applicants must indicate if their project utilizes the corps. While we appreciate this inclusion, we could not find additional information explaining how this information is to be used in project eligibility, scoring, and selection. We would suggest that the Conservancy include, in Section 7.0's Project Evaluation Criteria scoring rubric for each project category, a value that specifically requires identification of whether the applicant utilizes the community conservation corps and the California Conservation Corps, along with an appropriating rating. A suggested solution could be

ENABLING
CALIFORNIA'S LOCAL
CONSERVATION CORPS
TO WORK TOGETHER TO
BUILD STRONG YOUTH
DEVELOPMENT
ORGANIZATIONS
THROUGH A PROGRAM
OF CONSERVATION
WORK, EDUCATION AND
COMMUNITY SERVICE.

1121 L ST, STE 309
SACRAMENTO, CA
95814

PHONE (916) 426-9170
FAX (916) 426-9170
E-MAIL INFO@CALLOCALCORPS.ORG
WEB SITE [HTTP://WWW.CALLOCALCORPS.ORG](http://WWW.CALLOCALCORPS.ORG)



PRIVATE
NONPROFIT
COMMUNITY
CONSERVATION
CORPS



CIVICORPS (EAST
BAY)

CONSERVATION
CORPS NORTH BAY

CONSERVATION
CORPS OF LONG
BEACH

DOC / TREND
LOCAL
CONSERVATION
CORPS

GREATER VALLEY
CONSERVATION
CORPS

LOS ANGELES
CONSERVATION
CORPS

ORANGE COUNTY
CONSERVATION
CORPS

SACRAMENTO
REGIONAL
CONSERVATION
CORPS

SAN FRANCISCO
CONSERVATION
CORPS

SAN JOSE
CONSERVATION
CORPS

SEQUELOIS
COMMUNITY CORPS
(TULARE)

URBAN CORPUS OF
THE INLAND EMPIRE

URBAN CORPUS
OF SAN DIEGO
COUNTY

ENABLING
CALIFORNIA'S LOCAL
CONSERVATION CORPS
TO WORK TOGETHER TO
BUILD STRONG YOUTH
DEVELOPMENT
ORGANIZATIONS
THROUGH A PROGRAM
OF CONSERVATION
WORK, EDUCATION AND
COMMUNITY SERVICE.

that the rubrics for Project Types 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, and 3.4 should include an "AC4" which allows for the identification of if a "Project utilizes a community conservation corps or California Conservation Corps" with a provided rating of "5". This would enable the Conservancy to easily identify if an applicant uses a corps and provide an effective scoring mechanism to appropriately apply the preference required by statute.

Clarify consultation process and contacts – In order to increase transparency of the requirements of Section 80016 in Proposition 68 and best prepare applicants, we suggest that the Conservancy include clear and concise information about how the statute will impact applications, how applicants can communicate with the corps, and pertinent contact information. We would support the inclusion of conservation corps consultation processes and information similar to that of the Conservancy's Proposition 1 Solicitation (Sections entitled "Attachment 1 – Conservation Corps Consultation" (page 30) and "Corps Consultation Review Document" (page 31) of the "BHC Prop 1 Proposal Solicitation (Rev. July 2018)"), with the updated contact information for the community conservation corps and California Conservation Corps below:

Community Conservation Corps: Danielle Lynch, 1 (916) 926-9170 ext.4,
inquiry@prop68communitycorps.org

California Conservation Corps: Andrea Gabriel, 1 (916) 341-3272,
Prop68@ccc.ca.gov *Needs to be confirmed with the California Conservation Corps

Clarify the need to consult with and contact the California Conservation Corps and the community conservation corps – Section 4.4. of the Conservancy's Guidelines identify the California Conservation Corps, but not the community conservation corps as required by statute. In order to clarify the need for applicants to contact both, we'd suggest the following language change to Section 4.4:

"4.4 Conservation Corps. Section 80016 of the Public Resources Code states that, "to the extent feasible, a project whose application includes the use of services of the California Conservation Corps (CCC) or certified community conservation corps, as defined in Section 14507.5, shall be given preference for receipt of a grant under this division." The Conservancy encourages the use of conservation corps whenever feasible when implementing natural resource projects. To receive maximum additional points and preference, applicants should consult with the CCC and community conservation corps. Please email the CCC (Prop68@ccc.ca.gov) AND CALCC (Inquiry@Prop68CommunityCorps.org) to initiate the consultation process."

Through these comments, we hope to create a more transparent program that represents the language in Proposition 68, and the goals supported by California voters. Proposition 68 provides great opportunities for our environment, communities, and especially our youth, and we look forward to seeing these programs implemented. On behalf of the California Association of Local Conservation Corps and the thousands of underserved youth we serve annually, thank you for your consideration.

Best Regards,

Mary Alice Escarsega-Fechner
President
California Association of Local Conservation Corps

1121 L ST, STE 309
SACRAMENTO, CA
95814

PHONE (916) 426-9170
FAX (916) 426-9170
E-MAIL INFO@CALLOCALCORPS.ORG
WEB SITE [HTTP://WWW.CALLOCALCORPS.ORG](http://WWW.CALLOCALCORPS.ORG)



BALDWIN HILLS CONSERVANCY

5120 West Goldleaf Circle, Suite 290
Los Angeles, CA 90056
(323) 290-5270 Phone
www.bhc.ca.gov

Memorandum

To: Governing Board
From: David McNeill, Executive Officer
Date: September 21, 2018
Re: Item 5: Executive Officer Report

Projects Status Report

Please see Attachment #1 for the updated Baldwin Hills Conservancy (BHC) Local Assistance/Capital Outlay Projects Status Report.

Fiscal Update

Please see Attachment #2 - BHC Summary Expenditure Sheet by Fund, and Attachment #3 - BHC Prop 40 & Prop 84 Bond Cash Funds. The reports correspond with the end of month twelve (12) for the 2017-18 Fiscal Year.

Grant Agreement Amendments

Proposition 84 Grant Agreement # 15004 - Baldwin Hills Parklands Conservation Program (BHPCP) Amendment (AM 1):

The budget in the original grant agreement for the BHPCP is separated into 3 budget years of project planning, implementation, and review. While this format was very effective during the initial stages of the project, it has made invoicing and tracking cumbersome. AM 1 simplifies the project budget by consolidating similar activities across each budget year and basing budget items on the type of work being performed (See Attachment #4). Additionally, AM 1 redistributes \$9,600 from Professional Ecologist Team for Mapping/Training to WLAC Summer Intern 1. The Professional Ecologist Team has chosen to volunteer their time as part of the LAAS project match. The remaining funds will be used to hire additional WLAC interns per the program's mission of providing participants with employment opportunities.

Operations

The BHC has been transitioning into the new State accounting system, FI\$Cal, for all payments and purchase orders. During the transition, systems are being developed for inputting all required data for processing payments. Accordingly, items have been slowly begun to get entered by staff while accounting support from State Parks provides some

review and assistance. Over time, all users should become more familiar with FI\$Cal and processing times will improve as entry errors are reduced. Additionally, the BHC migrated its Office 365 system over to the State's server last week and is now under the State software contract with Microsoft. All nine conservancies will be hosted by the State server over the coming weeks. Henceforth, the Department of Water Resources will be managing the bulk of Information Technology services and procurement on our behalf.

BHC Project Status Report

Project Title	Grantee	Contract ID	Fund Source	Funds Allocated	Agreement Expiration	PROJECT STATUS
La Cienega Pedestrian Bridge Project	Los Angeles County Department of Parks and Recreation	BHC17003	Prop 40	\$3,900,000	TBD	Project kick-off meeting held 8/1/18; First design meeting TBD Sept-Oct 2018; Prop 40 Grant Agreement negotiations in-progress; Estimated project completion in 2020.
Baldwin Hills Parklands Conservation Project	Los Angeles Audubon Society (LAAS)	BHC15004	Prop 84	\$124,536	8/31/2019	LACCD Board of Trustees approved Conservation Certificate Program at WLAC on 6/6/2018; Awaiting State approval; 3 sessions of Summer Camp held in June and July 2018; May be able to offer classes in Fall 2018; Project completion Summer 2019.
Park to Playa Trail - Ballona Creek Connection	Culver City	BHC15002	Prop 84	\$379,808	6/30/2018	Construction started May 7, 2018 and is proceeding as scheduled; Construction will continue through July 30, 2018; Project completion expected Summer 2019
Baldwin Hills Scenic Overlook Trail and Stormwater Improvement Project	Los Angeles Conservation Corps (LACC)	BHC1708	Prop 84	\$830,684	6/30/2020	Irrigation has been installed alongside the stairs; Trail sections 2 and 7 are under construction; Seeds and cuttings are being collected for propagation of restoration area plantings; Project completion expected Summer 2020.

BHC Project Status Report

Project Title	Grantee	Contract ID	Fund Source	Funds Allocated	Agreement Expiration	PROJECT STATUS
Waste Transfer Station Stormwater Diversion and Rain Garden Project	Culver City	BHC16001	Prop 1	\$606,000	7/31/2018	Construction Complete; Grand Opening Celebration September 15 in conjunction w/Coastal Clean-up Day; Project Close-out on-going; Completion on track for July 2018.
Milton Green Street Project	Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority (MRCA)	BHC16002	Prop 1	\$745,000	8/31/2018	Stormwater monitoring completed; Project close-out on-going; Final billing & reporting anticipated October; Completion expected November 2018
Lower Ballona Creek Feasibility Study Project	California Greenworks Inc.	BHC17002	Prop 1	\$258,000	12/31/2018	Ballona Creek Base Maps & Hydrology Analysis Map completed; Geotechnical Investigation & Final Report anticipated completion end-September; Project Assessment & Evaluation Plan (PAEP) on-going; Draft Landscape Conceptual Plan on-going; Draft Outreach Plan anticipated end-September; Project completion expected December 2018

BHC Project Status Report

Project Title	Grantee	Contract ID	Fund Source	Funds Allocated	Agreement Expiration	PROJECT STATUS
Water Capture and Management Project for Yvonne B. Burke Sports Complex	California Conservation Corps Foundation	BHC1800	Prop 1	\$1,500,000	TBD	Negotiating contract with Grantee; expected contract execution October 2018; Project completion expected Spring 2020.

BALLONA CREEK

Open House
Culver City Transfer Station's
Stormwater Diversion & Rain Gardens
9255 Jefferson Bl. Culver City, CA 90232
Saturday, September 15, 2018
From 12PM to 1PM
Immediately after
Baldwin Hills Scenic Overlook Base
Coastal Cleanup Activities



SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 2018 | 9A.M. TO NOON
MORE THAN 50 INLAND AND COASTAL LOCATIONS IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY
REGISTER AT HEALTHEBAY.ORG/CCD

Baldwin Hills
2017/18 Summary Sheet by Fund

<u>As of 6/30/2018</u>	<u>PCA #</u>	<u>Original</u> <u>Appropriation</u>	<u>Remaining</u> <u>Appropriation</u>	<u>EXP + ENC</u>	<u>BALANCE</u>	<u>Encumber</u> <u>by</u>	<u>Liquidate</u> <u>by</u>
<u>ELPF - #0140, Support</u>							
2016 Budget Act Item 3835-001-0140	10001	\$ 357,000.00	\$ 369,000.00	\$ 346,130.20	\$ 22,869.80	06/30/18	06/30/20
<u>Prop 40 - #6029, Support</u>							
2016 Budget Act Item 3835-001-6029	10005	\$ 124,000.00	\$ 129,000.00	\$ 113,042.91	\$ 15,957.09	06/30/18	06/30/20
<u>Prop 84 - #6051, Support</u>							
2016 Budget Act Item 3835-001-6051	10009	\$ 129,000.00	\$ 133,000.00	\$ 103,824.45	\$ 29,175.55	06/30/18	06/30/20
<u>Prop 1 - #6083, Support</u>							
2016 Budget Act Item 3835-001-6083	10006	\$ 103,000.00	\$ 107,000.00	\$ 87,203.75	\$ 19,796.25	06/30/18	06/30/20
Total Support Balance:					\$ 87,798.69		
<u>Prop 1 - #6083, Local Assistance/ Capital Outlay</u>							
2017 Budget Act Item 3835-101-6083	20008	\$ 2,000,000.00	\$ 2,000,000.00	\$ -	\$ 2,000,000.00	06/30/20	06/30/22
2016 Budget Act Item 3835-101-6083	20006	\$ 2,000,000.00	\$ 2,000,000.00	\$ -	\$ 2,000,000.00	06/30/19	06/30/21
2015 Budget Act Item 3835-101-6083	20004	\$ 2,000,000.00	\$ 2,000,000.00	\$ 1,398,392.67	\$ 601,607.33	06/30/18	06/30/20
Total Prop 1 Balance:					\$ 4,601,607.33		
<u>Prop 40 - #6029, Local Assistance/Capital Outlay</u>							
2016 Budget Act Item 3835-101-6029	20007	\$ 6,025,000.00	\$ 6,025,000.00	\$ -	\$ 6,025,000.00	06/30/19	06/30/21
2015 Budget Act Item 3835-301-6029	20005	\$ 11,604,000.00	\$ 8,129,705.00	\$ 5,200,000.00	\$ 2,929,705.00	06/30/18	06/30/20
Total Prop 40 Balance:					\$ 8,954,705.00		
<u>Prop 84 - #6051, Capital Outlay</u>							
2015 Budget Act Item 3835-301-6051	30004	\$ 2,118,000.00	\$ 2,019,815.84	\$ 916,832.81	\$ 1,102,983.03	06/30/18	06/30/20
2014 Budget Act Item 3835-301-6051	30003	\$ 3,120,000.00	\$ 1,749,772.74	\$ 1,336,188.00	\$ 413,584.74	06/30/17	06/30/19
Total Prop 84 Balance					\$ 1,516,567.77		

BOND CASH FUNDS
(as of 6/30/18)

2015 COMMERCIAL PAPER TE		CASH ALLOCATED	EXPENDITURES	BALANCE
	PROP 84:	\$3,277,819.00	3,076,879.98	\$200,939.02
	PROP 40:	\$6,674,161.89	4,939,897.35	\$1,734,264.54
	PROP 1:	\$1,185,114.54	623,992.88	\$561,121.33

COMMERCIAL PAPER TAX		CASH ALLOCATED	EXPENDITURES	BALANCE
	PROP 1:	\$603,000.00	41,878.34	\$561,121.66

GRANT AGREEMENT
BALDWIN HILLS CONSERVANCY
 State of California – The Resources Agency

GRANTEE	Los Angeles Audubon Society		
PROJECT TITLE	Baldwin Hills Parklands Conservation Program		
PERFORMANCE PERIOD	May 1, 2016	through	August 31, 2019
Under the terms and conditions of this Contract, the Grantee agrees to complete the Project as described in the project description, and the State of California, through the Executive Officer of the Baldwin Hills Conservancy pursuant to the Safe Drinking Water, Water Quality and Supply, Flood Control, River and Coastal Protection Bond Act of 2006 (Proposition 84), agrees to fund the Project up to the Grant Amount.			
PROJECT DESCRIPTION			
See project description on the first page of the Grant Agreement. <u>This Amendment removes/replaces Exhibit B, Attach.1.</u> Project is to be carried out in conformance with the attached scope of work in Exhibit A, (hereinafter referred to as the "Project") and all Contract provisions as stated herein.			
TOTAL GRANT AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED	\$ 124,536		
The General and Special Provisions attached are made a part of and incorporated into the Contract.			

GRANTEE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
GRANTEE'S NAME: Los Angeles Audubon Society (LAAS)	AGENCY NAME: BALDWIN HILLS CONSERVANCY (BHC)
ADDRESS: P.O Box 411301 Los Angeles, CA 90041-8301	ADDRESS: 5120 Goldleaf Circle, Suite 290 Los Angeles, CA 90056
BY (AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE): ✍	BY (AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE): ✍
PRINTED NAME AND TITLE OF PERSON SIGNING: Carol Babeli Development Director	PRINTED NAME AND TITLE OF PERSON SIGNING: David McNeill, Executive Officer
DATE SIGNED:	DATE SIGNED:

CERTIFICATION OF FUNDING (FOR STATE USE ONLY)					
AMOUNT ENCUMBERED BY THIS DOCUMENT	AGREEMENT / AMENDMENT NUMBER	FUND TITLE: (Prop 84) Safe Drinking Water, Water Quality and Supply, Flood Control, River and Coastal Protection Bond Act of 2006			
\$ 0	BHC 15004, AM1				
PRIOR AMOUNT ENCUMBERED FOR THIS AGREEMENT	APPROPRIATION				
\$124,536	Local Assistance				
		VENDOR NUMBER			
TOTAL AMOUNT ENCUMBERED TO DATE	LINE ITEM ALLOTMENT	CHAPTER	STATUTE	FISCAL YEAR	
\$ 124,536	3835-301-6051	10/15	2015	15/16	
T.B.A NO.	B.R. NO.	INDEX	OBJ. EXPEND	PCA	PROJECTWORK PHASE
		1300	741	30004	
I hereby certify upon my personal knowledge that budgeted funds are available for this encumbrance.					
SIGNATURE OF ACCOUNTING OFFICER			DATE		
✍					

EXHIBIT B, Attachment I

Parklands User Survey Study
PROJECT COSTS

Task	Task Description	Rate	BHC	BHRCA	Audubon In-Kind
1	Aspect 1: Baldwin Hills Parklands Conservation Certificate				
1.1.1	Program Leader I	21	\$42,672	\$0	\$0
1.1.2	Program Leader II	21	\$0	\$42,672	\$0
1.2	Project Manager	35	\$0	\$39,970	\$0
1.3	Professional Ecologist & Naturalist Education Consultant	100	\$0	\$0	\$60,000
1.4	Professional Ecologist Team for mapping/Training	120	\$0	\$2,400	\$9,600
1.5.1	WLAC Summer Intern I	15.17	\$11,116	\$0	\$0
1.5.2	WLAC Summer Intern II	15.17	\$0	\$1,516	\$0
1.6	iPads (Qty. 2 for field work, mapping) Rental rate quoted per Flying Connected \$86 per week, per unit x 2 x 15 weeks (semester)	86	\$5,160	\$0	\$0
1.7	Park Signage design/print		\$4,000	\$0	\$0
2	Aspect 2: Baldwin Hills Conservation & Craftsmanship Day Camp				
2.1.1	Camp Leader I	35	\$12,740	\$0	\$0
2.1.2	Camp Leader II	28	\$10,192	\$0	\$0
2.2.1	Camp Assistant I	21	\$7,455	\$0	\$0
2.2.2	Camp Assistant II	21	\$0	\$7,455	\$0
2.3.1	Camp Intern I	15.17	\$6,963	\$0	\$0
2.3.2	Camp Intern II	15.17	\$0	\$1,745	\$0
2.4.1	ReDiscover Camp Partner Leader	22	\$3,520	\$0	\$0
2.4.2	ReDiscover Camp Partner Asst. I	20	\$0	\$3,200	\$0
2.4.3	ReDiscover Camp Partner Asst. II	20	\$2,400	\$800	\$0
2.5	Camp Supplies (bee boxes, and box screens for park)		\$8,612	\$0	\$0
2.6	Camp Personnel Mileage (20mi.x11x10days=2200 miles @\$.54/mile)	0.54	\$0	\$4,514	\$0
	Direct Cost Totals		\$114,830	\$104,272	\$69,600
3	Indirect (Admin and Accounting)				
3.1	Admin		\$9,706	\$9,976	\$0
	Project Cost Totals		\$124,536	\$114,248	\$69,600